

The School of Power #5 – Power Today

Richard B. Sorensen, www.unholygrail.net

Copyright © 2007, All Rights Reserved

April 13, 2020

He who controls the past commands the future. He who commands the future conquers the past.

~ George Orwell

If you believe in God, you go to Heaven when you die. If you don't, you go to North Korea.

~ Pastor Lee, former religious prisoner in North Korea

“In the last class we were discussing how the rules of power change over time, and how new political situations create new difficulties as well as opportunities. However, sometimes the patterns of history repeat themselves. Prior to WWII, the American public was overwhelmingly against getting involved in another European war, and President Roosevelt, just like Wilson before him, was assuring the public that no such thing would happen. However, various insiders were secretly strategizing to get America involved in order to exploit this war in the same manner as they had the previous one. Ships laden with ammunition were sent to England just as the *Lusitania* had been during WWI in order to tempt the Germans to sink one of them to provide the necessary excuse. But the Germans had learned their lesson and they avoided attacking American ships, so it was determined to try to provoke Japan into making the first aggressive move. Henry Stimson, CFR member and FDR’s War Secretary, wrote in his notes, ‘The question is, how to maneuver the Japanese into the position of firing the first shot.’ A trade embargo was launched, Japanese assets were frozen, and finally an ultimatum was delivered demanding that all Japanese troops be removed from other Far East countries. When the Japanese unwisely responded by attacking Pearl Harbor, they provided the excuse necessary for entering the war, which was then expanded to include a European front.

However, the net result after millions of soldiers had died was not a world kept safe for democracy. Instead, all of Eastern Europe was essentially given to Stalin and the Communists at the Tehran and Yalta conferences in 1945. In another *deja-vu* from World War I, planning for the United Nations, a warmed-over version of the League of Nations, was started long before the end of the war and was implemented immediately after the war ended. One of FDR’s key advisors at Yalta, as well as being the first Secretary General of the UN, was Alger Hiss, who flew back to Washington, DC with the UN Charter that he and others had written. Remembering the hostile response given to the League of Nations by Congress, the UN legislation was pushed through the Senate without debate, and the Rockefellers donated \$8.5 million to purchase land in Manhattan for the UN headquarters building.

“After World War II was over, the Korean War was fought and the disturbing patterns that were exposed by the Yalta conference began to emerge into the public sphere. It was discovered that communists had made significant inroads into the American government; a number of government officials were revealed as communist spies who had been put in place by various insider organizations. Before the war, Whittaker Chambers, a Soviet spy and one of their chief couriers for the transmission of secret information to Russia, decided to defect. He reported the names of a number of other agents, including his superior, Alger Hiss, to President Roosevelt; all of this valuable information was ignored. Whittaker went underground for his own safety, and later came back into society as an editor for *Time* magazine. Meanwhile, Hiss became a senior official in the State Department, an advisor to Roosevelt at Yalta, and a key UN official. Hiss was also made president of the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace – the CEIP, having been nominated by John Foster Dulles, the Secretary of State. Hiss’s true identity as a communist agent finally became public in 1948 when the US House of Representatives formed a committee to investigate un-American affairs. At first Hiss denied all of the charges, but then files which had been prepared for transmittal to Russia were discovered, and it was determined that they were typed on Hiss’s own typewriter. He was then convicted and sentenced to a five-year prison term.

“Then it was revealed that an organization known as the Institute for Pacific Relations, the IPR, which was founded and supported by the same CEIP of which Hiss was president, had for a long time been aiding the Chinese communist General Mao Tse-tung in his efforts to overcome the democratic government of Chiang Kai Shek in China. The IPR, through State Department official Owen Lattimore and others, controlled the staffing in the State Department relating to the Far East, and had repeatedly subverted American interests in China. George Marshall, author of the Marshall Plan for bailing out Europe, had been sent to Chiang. He demanded that communists be allowed in the government as a condition for further US financial support. Chiang refused and the funding was cut off; his military operations collapsed, he ran out of ammunition, and soon afterward Mao and the communist army who were being supplied by the Soviets took over China. This betrayal was covered up by Dean Acheson, the US Secretary of State, who wrote a white paper blaming Chiang for all of the problems with China. Donald Hiss, Alger’s brother, was Acheson’s law office partner, and even though the Republicans in the US House resolved unanimously to remove Acheson from office, he still became an advisor to later presidential administrations.

“During the Korean War in 1951 a similar situation developed. General Douglas MacArthur won a brilliant initial victory against the North Koreans and captured virtually their entire army. He was then confronted with an invasion of Chinese troops from north of the Korean border. MacArthur gave the order to bomb the bridges across the Yalu River which the Chinese were using, but his order was immediately countermanded by George Marshall, now the Secretary of Defense. Chiang Kai Shek also offered to get involved to assist the American army against the Chinese communists, but he was likewise refused. MacArthur was also forbidden to attack the bases in China and after several months of stalemate in which American soldiers were being killed, MacArthur finally wrote a letter

explaining the situation to the US Congress. The letter was eventually read on the floor of the US House of Representatives, causing an uproar; General McArthur was immediately fired by President Truman. Later generals fared no better and were prevented from winning the war by the refusal of the administration and the State Department to allow China to be attacked. General Mark Clark, one of the successors to McArthur, said, 'It was beyond my comprehension that we would countenance a situation in which Chinese soldiers killed Americans in organized warfare, and we would fail to use every means at our disposal to protect those American soldiers.' During that time a young congressman made the following speech:

Mr. Speaker, over this weekend we have learned the extent of the disaster that has befallen China and the United States. The responsibility for the failure of our foreign policy in the Far East rests squarely with the White House and the Department of State... This is the tragic story of China, whose freedom we once fought to preserve. What our young men had saved and died for, our diplomats and our President have frittered away.
John F. Kennedy, 25 January 1949

“The US presidential election of 1952, which took place after the end of World War II, was a replay of what happened after World War I. Truman was generally despised as a creature of the establishment and the man who had caved into communism. His opponent was Robert Taft, the son of William Taft who had been defeated by establishment dirty tricks in the infamous presidential election of 1912. Taft chose as his running mate General Douglas McArthur, who was considered by many Americans to be a war hero who had been screwed over by Truman. It looked as if the establishment would be squelched again just as they had been in the 1920s. But at the Republican convention the insiders pulled out all of the stops, played many more dirty tricks, and managed to get Dwight Eisenhower, the World War II general and CFR member, nominated instead of Taft. Eisenhower, like George Marshall before him, was a creature of the establishment and had been promoted because he was willing to toe their line. In the election of 1952 he defeated Adlai Stevenson, also a CFR member. The insiders had finally managed to secure the candidates from both parties, and this became the pattern for almost all future elections. Even though Eisenhower was a Republican, he continued in Truman’s tradition of giving the communists a free hand: he failed to give any assistance to either the Poles or the Hungarians who staged a revolt from Soviet Russia and then were crushed in 1956, and he allowed Castro to take over Cuba and transform it into a communist outpost.

“Shortly after the Korean War, the Jenner Report was released by the Senate Judiciary Committee. This report concluded that there were large numbers of communists in the government that were subverting the interests of America. The press, however, refused to publicize it. In 1953, Senator Joe McCarthy took charge of the senate committee examining communist infiltration into government. In the course of an extensive investigation, he discovered large numbers of State Department employees who were directly affiliated with the communist party. He also found that the department had cultivated communist links; that security precautions were a farce; and that many leftists had been brought into various branches of government by the CFR and the Rockefeller,

Carnegie, and Ford foundations. Unfortunately, McCarthy went overboard with his allegations and his anti-communist rhetoric and made wide-sweeping accusations that he could not back up at the time, and which later led to his downfall. Virtually all of his evidence was circumstantial and could not be proved. But years later after the Soviet archives were opened and the VENONA project, a secret US government program for intercepting and decrypting Soviet espionage materials, was declassified, it was found that a number of people in the US government truly had been communist spies.

“As McCarthy started making charges and naming names, he started to reveal the connections that I have just told you. The establishment became desperate to shut him up and they staged an all-out war against him to destroy him and his credibility. The charges he raised were not denied; rather, the establishment simply accused him of conducting an elaborate witch hunt for the sake of political gain, and the Senate investigated him. Joe McCarthy, an exceedingly brave man who stood virtually alone against the establishment, was ultimately discredited, and ruined by a huge press campaign which slandered him. To this day his name is associated with hysterical witch hunts.”

Henri raised his hand.

“Sir, I have always heard that McCarthy was an extremist and a right-wing crackpot.”

“That is exactly what his political enemies wanted you to believe; the term ‘McCarthyism’ was coined by Owen Lattimore, one of the communist insiders that he was trying to expose, and despite Lattimore’s denials, the man was later shown to be a communist infiltrator. McCarthy did not pull any punches, and like Louis McFadden before him, what he said was extremely hard to listen to. McCarthy was also said to have employed dirty tricks and hardball political tactics to discredit his opponents, similar to the tactics the insiders used to secure the nomination for Eisenhower in the 1952 presidential election. Also, he and other right-wing people went overboard and claimed that most of the US government, including Presidents Roosevelt and Truman, were also communists, because all of this had happened right under their noses.

It is at points like this where you have to dig deeper, because reality is often more complex than it appears on the surface. For example, during the Alger Hiss trial, when President Truman was shown the evidence against Hiss, he was disgusted that he or anyone in his administration could have appointed such a man. But when asked what he intended to do about it, Truman replied that he would do nothing, because if he admitted supporting Hiss, the Republicans would destroy him politically. Thus, he felt that he had to dissemble and cover up his own mistakes and those of others to preserve the power of his party.”

“Maybe McCarthy was trying to discredit the other side just to enhance his own power,” suggested Henri.

“Yes, perhaps his speeches were partially a power play for himself and his party because no one has completely pure motives. But in his case, it seems that his motivation

was primarily the conviction of his own conscience. Other than the publicity, he did not profit at all from this, and he died at age 48 before his second term of office was completed. His opposition told many lies about him in their efforts to discredit him and hide what was happening. To insiders the truth is insignificant, and power is the only thing that matters.” Dr. Quigley stretched and adjusted his glasses.

“McCarthy’s major problem was deceptiveness and the fluidity of his adversaries. Many of the people that McCarthy identified as communists simply reinvented themselves as socialists. In other words, rather than being associated with the evil and cruel world of Stalin, with his purges and executions, which the word communist had come to mean in the mind of the American public, they simply changed their identity into socialists, which is a word that the Left has taken pains to associate with caring and sharing. As I said before, this was the great insight that the Fabian socialists showed the communists – it was much better to be seen as a friend of America and the West rather than an enemy because this removed much of the wind from the sails of western critics and allowed ex-communists to align themselves with mainstream western politics as they did with the Democrat party in America. It also fit in well with the Marxian dialectical view of history – the thesis of communism met with the antithesis of capitalism to produce the synthesis of social democracy. Thus, the communists have now become warm and fuzzy social democrats without any significant change in their goals. This demonstrates another powerful principle from George Orwell’s book *1984* – controlling the meaning of words allows you to control and direct the debate: socialism is continually depicted as being warm and caring, whereas capitalism is depicted as being cold, greedy, and selfish.

“Around the same time that Joe McCarthy was performing his investigations, the Reese Commission was created by the US Congress to investigate the Carnegie, Rockefeller, and Ford foundations. The commission found that these organizations had been pouring money into a wide variety of potentially subversive activities in government, education, and even sexuality. Although this commission was also squelched and its work ignored, we know a number of the projects that have received funding from these foundations.” Dr. Quigley turned around and began writing on the chalkboard.

“Here are some of the projects: communistic social science departments were installed and funded at universities; studies that discredit American culture and values were encouraged; textbooks were rewritten in order to propagate the establishment world view, especially those related to American history and economics; much support was given to the National Education Association and its drive to control American public education; the free market was repeatedly attacked; globalist organizations were continually promoted, including the UN, the IMF, the World Bank, and others; unionization drives and the radicalization of unions were encouraged; and the Kinsey report and others related to abortion, homosexuality and early-childhood sex education were given wide distribution. Thus, these foundations have been involved in many projects to reinterpret history, undermine morality, and dumb-down the public in a variety of ways. They helped pave the way for the acceptance of more socialistic

governmental controls on the American people. The action of these foundations is a large subject that we cannot consider in any more detail, but I encourage you to investigate them on your own.

“In the 1960s America experienced a paradigm shift in its attitudes toward poverty. Before this time people understood that the way out of poverty was personal responsibility – hard work, improving one’s job skills, avoiding addictions, making the most of one’s opportunities, and so on. Therefore, the focus of government efforts was placed on such things as creating jobs and improving education. It is significant that the welfare systems devised during the depression in the Roosevelt era were primarily work-based. Organizations such as the Works Projects Administration focused their efforts on putting people to work rather than just providing handouts. But the welfare system of the 1960s took a new tack whereby the government simply gave money to the poor, who were supposedly entitled to it by virtue of their race or low level of income. Thus, began the socialistic notion of entitlements – that some groups of people are entitled to receive money taken from other groups in a system of income redistribution. For example, cash was given to each single welfare mother, the amount was increased for each illegitimate child that she conceived, and all benefits were removed if she got married. The government therefore promoted illegitimacy, dependence, and victimology; in essence they subsidized irresponsibility. President Lyndon Johnson spent billions of taxpayer dollars in his foolish and unsuccessful War on Poverty, which created many more problems than it solved, because whatever you subsidize you will get more of.

“One of the significant questions we need to ask here is, why did this paradigm shift take place? There are, of course, a number of reasons, including racial issues, political pressure, and others, but I believe that there was something deeper. As in the case of the income tax, understanding the true reasons for the genesis of the welfare society involves looking at things from the perspective of the power elite. The establishment was very much aware that the problems of poverty, discontent, and perceived discrimination among the lower classes could easily lead to revolt and upheaval, particularly in the hands of a skillful demagogue who could manipulate opinion and blame the existing political order for keeping the poor in bondage. Such revolts have taken place a number of times throughout world history, most notably, the French Revolution of the 1790s which convulsed France and led to an entirely new cadre of rulers. At that time, the top level of society was extremely wealthy, and the bottom level was starving, with very few opportunities open to them. This was a recipe for disaster; the top people were blamed, their heads were chopped off in the guillotine, and a new group came to power.

“The timing of this paradigm shift toward a welfare state in the flow of American history is incredibly significant. Action like this would not have been possible in the past due to popular feelings against government giveaways, but the political climate of the country had changed as a result of the reeducation initiatives from the large foundations that I previously mentioned. Politicians had seen how the Marshall Plan in Europe and the IMF and World Bank could successfully be used to extract value out of the taxpayer’s money in order to gain foreign influence and control. Why not use the same method to

gain control over the lower classes in America, to keep them quiet and make them dependent on the government as well, and furthermore, to do this with taxpayer money from the middle class? Pandering by politicians has been going on for centuries, but never before to this level in a democratic society. In essence it is wealthy politicians using large amounts of government resources in order to buy votes and keep themselves in power, and in the process appear to be loving and compassionate. Alexis de Tocqueville, the French writer and social commentator who spent much time traveling through America in the early 1800s, said: ‘The American Republic will endure until politicians realize that they can bribe the people with their own money.’ A side benefit from the NWO perspective was that the welfare system has led to a huge and virtually irreversible increase in the size of the federal government and bureaucracy in general; the large numbers of people whose livelihood depends on these programs makes the reduction of socialistic welfare systems almost impossible to accomplish politically.

“The Vietnam War was being fought during the same period, which was a totally useless war and achieved nothing. As in the case with McArthur in Korea, the generals were not allowed to win the war, and so it dragged on for years, killing and maiming thousands of American young people and providing a goldmine to the insider firms supplying the army, in yet another application of the Rothschild formula. Another NWO benefit is that the war ultimately led to both internal and external condemnation of America.

“In 1968 Richard Nixon became president. He was a Republican who had participated in the trial of Alger Hiss, so most people thought of him as anti-establishment. But after he became president it became clear that he was simply one more creature of the CFR. Nelson Rockefeller was his vice president, and his administration was full of globalist insiders such as Henry Kissinger, George Schultz, George Ball, and many others. Nixon maintained the welfare policies of Johnson, let the Vietnam War drag on, enacted wage and price controls, made treaties with Communist China, and even admitted that he had become an advocate of the socialist economics ideas of John Maynard Keynes, which I mentioned before. After Nixon resigned during the Watergate investigation, Gerald Ford, another globalist insider Republican, became president, and again selected Nelson Rockefeller as his running mate.

“The presidency switched to the Democrats in 1976 with the election of Jimmy Carter, who came out of nowhere to win the nomination and the presidency with the backing of David Rockefeller. The same establishment was still running the government, and Carter supported their agenda, including the recognition of Red China and support for the takeover of Rhodesia by the socialist dictator Robert Mugabe. Even Ronald Reagan, who replaced Carter in 1980, and who called Russia ‘the Evil Empire,’ continued many of the same policies because he was surrounded with most of the same people. His vice president was George H. Bush, also a one-world globalist who became president after Reagan in 1988.

“The 70s and the 80s saw the growth of American multi-national corporations. Some of these had been around for a long time, but many more went overseas to take

advantage of cheaper labor and materials, better tax policies, and fewer environmental and political restrictions in other countries. As these firms got larger, they often sought to integrate more tightly with government to benefit themselves as others had before them. They hired lobbying firms to schmooze government officials, provided payoffs to politicians who did their bidding, and in some cases managed to gain control of the government agencies that were trying to regulate them, leading to an increase in ‘corporatocracy,’ or rule by corporations. Companies who tried to avoid governmental involvement, as Microsoft did prior to its being sued by the federal, state and now European governments, found that it was necessary to make political donations to grease the wheels of government and avoid being trashed by politicians.

“Wars also continued to be fought and funded despite the condemnation of the Vietnam War. President Bill Clinton ordered the American invasion of the former Yugoslav republics in an effort to defeat Slobodan Milosevic, the Serbian dictator. He also ordered the disastrous *Black Hawk Down* incursion in Somalia. Now that the Republican president Bush has been elected, we will see if more conflict occurs, and if he tries to continue the Iraq war started by his father.”

Jean raised his hand.

“Sir, how could all of these things have been controlled by the NWO?” Dr. Quigley replied,

“As I said before, not all things were or are being controlled, and the control that we have seen being exercised was performed by various and in some cases competing groups. Furthermore, power really amounts to control over people, and it is impossible for any group to control all of the actions of large numbers of individuals, especially in a relatively free society. Therefore, when we speak of a political party being controlled, you must understand that the control is relative and not absolute.

“Yesterday I told you about the Council on Foreign Relations – the American version of Cecil Rhodes’ Round Table group. It is an amazing fact that since the 1930s almost every American presidential candidate, Secretary of State, Secretary of Defense, Secretary of War, CIA Director, Foreign Policy Advocate, and National Security Advisor has been a member of this organization. The CFR dominated the administrations of Roosevelt, Truman, Eisenhower, Kennedy, Johnson, Nixon, and Ford, and occupied almost all of the high-level positions in the Carter, Clinton, and both Bush administrations. In many cases, these people are members of other globalist organizations as well. Following are a few of the present and/or former CFR members: Alger Hiss, Jimmy Carter, George H. Bush, Bill Clinton, Hillary Clinton, Al Gore, John Kerry, Donald Rumsfeld, Richard Cheney, Madeline Albright, Henry Kissinger, Colin Powell, Condoleezza Rice, Paul Volker, Alan Greenspan, George Soros, Tom Brokaw, Ted Koppel, Jesse Jackson, Dan Rather, and Barbara Walters. Is it at all striking to you that one organization which is ostensibly dedicated to world socialization and control has had this much impact at the highest levels of government? Does this help you understand? Check out the of present and past CFR members, and you may be surprised at the names that are on it. Thomas Jefferson once made this prescient comment:

Single acts of tyranny may be ascribed to the accidental opinion of a day; but a series of oppressions, begun at a distinguished period, and pursued unalterably through every change of ministers, too plainly proves a deliberate systematic plan of reducing us to slavery.

“How did the CFR manage to get this much control?” asked Jean.

“By the fact that members of the establishment going back to Colonel House, Rockefeller, and Morgan set up this organization to be a training and testing ground for potential high-level government positions. The founding president of the CFR was John W. Davis, and vice president was Paul Cravath, both of whom were J.P. Morgan’s personal attorneys, and the first chairman was Russell Leffingwell, one of J.P. Morgan’s partners. Membership in the CFR is by invitation only. You usually need to attend the right schools, such as Harvard, Princeton, Yale, Columbia, or the European equivalents, and you need to be fully indoctrinated in socialistic globalism to be considered. When the US government needs high-level staff, this is where they usually look. If you don’t play along and bring in the right people, you risk becoming a target yourself; the insiders control much of the media and will attempt to destroy a person if they feel that he or she is becoming a serious enemy. Membership in the CFR is often said to be essential in order to make it to the top.”

“Are all of the CFR members part of this conspiracy?” Michel asked.

“No, certainly not. As I said before, reality is much more complex than that. In any large organization with a voluntary membership, especially one that has many highly influential and well-known individuals like the CFR, there are bound to be those who belong to it strictly for personal prestige, and to make high-level connections for business or political purposes. Such people may have little or nothing to do with the organization’s ideology and may not understand or care about the organization’s true purposes. Also, these people typically have big egos, so naturally they will have different points of view and in many cases will have strong disagreements with each other. They even may be political enemies, or at least seem to be – not all of them subscribe to the goals of the organization. Even if they agree on some points they may disagree on others. Furthermore, the CFR always keeps at least a few opposition members on board to maintain the appearance of bipartisanship and impartiality.”

“Are these people somehow evil?”

“Of course not. Most of the CFR insiders would mock the idea that their organization is part of some evil conspiracy because many of them honestly believe that they and the organization are doing the right things for themselves, America, and the rest of the world. Furthermore, it is not a ‘blood brotherhood’ or a secret cabal. Admiral Chester Ward, a former member of the organization said this:

The CFR as such does not write the platforms of both political parties, select their respective presidential candidates, or control US defense and

foreign policy. But CFR members, as individuals, acting in concert with other individual CFR members, do.

“The CFR itself is therefore not the establishment, but merely one of the front organizations for it. Nevertheless, the goal and direction of this organization and other NWO groups is to break down the sovereignty of nations and ultimately merge them into a one-world socialist government because they believe that by virtue of their wealth, intelligence, and power, they have the right and obligation to rule the world for the supposed good of all.”

“How do you know that for sure?” asked Jean.

“If you read *Foreign Affairs* the official publication of the CFR, you will find that the main point being advocated, over and over in many different ways at different times, is continual support for globalist organizations and for a one-world socialist government. But lest you think that this is merely a hysterical conspiracy theory, one of your assignments is to read quotes on power so that you can see for yourself what these leaders think in their own words. It is very sobering and will help you gain perspective on where the world is heading. Here is one example:

National sovereignty is no longer a viable concept... Marxism is simultaneously a victory of the external, active man over the inner, passive man and a victory of reason over belief... Marxism disseminated on the popular level in the form of Communism, represented a major advance in man's ability to conceptualize his relationship to his world... Marxism supplied the best available insight into contemporary reality.

Zbigniew Brzezinski, CFR and Trilateral Commission Director, professor at Harvard and Columbia universities, and advisor to Presidents Carter and Bush Sr.

“Zbigniew Brzezinski’s quotes are from his book *Between Two Ages*. David Rockefeller, the chairman of Chase Manhattan Bank, the ex-chairman of the CFR, and by many accounts the chairman of the establishment, read Brzezinski’s book, and was so impressed that he helped guide Brzezinski’s career and got him involved in government service. Brzezinski is a former CFR director and has also served as a director in the Trilateral Commission, another globalist group formed by David Rockefeller in 1973.

“Again, it is essential that you understand that the people who make up the CFR, the Trilateral Commission, and other NWO groups may feel that they are doing what is right and best for the world. They do not have horns or carry pitchforks. For example, in David Rockefeller’s quote on the web site that I referred to previously, he alludes to a desire for less war and conflict. I think that he truly desires a more universal peace, and that is a commendable thing, because war is hell. However, the question is, what is the nature and the price of that peace? Furthermore, it is not at all clear that globalism will reduce conflict. It may do so in certain areas of the world, but then new fault lines appear in different places. We now have more wars going on than at any other period of history. When one government attempts to rule over many regions of different ethnic, racial, and social backgrounds, dangerous tensions are inevitable. Furthermore, the most serious problem with globalists is that their supposed good intentions are leading to a potential

world-wide disaster, because creating a one-world government will ultimately unleash an uncontrollable monster.”

“But how do you know for sure that a one-world government will be created?” several boys asked, almost in unison.

“We do not know for sure, because we obviously don’t know what the future will be. But my point is that extremely wealthy and powerful groups are trying to bring this about and investing tremendous amounts of money and energy into it. They are continually raising the stakes and grabbing for power. From all appearances they are getting closer to achieving their goal.”

“When would this happen?”

“It could take place in five years or fifty but given the Fabian model of the patient turtle crawling around the track, it will happen gradually and incrementally, with as few visible milestones and conflicts as possible. The point is that this process is going on as we speak, and the noose is gradually being closed.”

“Okay, but if a one-world government is eventually established, how can you be so sure that it will be bad?” asked Jean.

“Again, we do not know for sure what it would be like because the future is unknown. Such a government would start off well and would certainly take its time exerting control – the frog in the saucepan approach. But such a government is potentially extremely bad because of the threat to personal freedom that it poses. If a one-world government were created, would documents such as the American Constitution and the Bill of Rights still exist? What protection would there be for free enterprise? Would the resources of the people in France, America, and other western countries be further raped and squandered by this global government, as the IMF and the World Bank have already been doing? When you consider these questions, the answers are fairly obvious. Short of a universal war, the establishment of a one-world government would be one of the worst possible events that ever could occur. Furthermore, it is not necessary for there to be only one; there may be several large tyrannical governmental systems as in the case of Orwell’s *1984*.” Henri raised his hand.

“Given our current western societies, these scenarios seem to be very extreme. How could the world get that bad?”

“When you have a large population that has been trained to be dependent on government, all that is necessary for dictatorship is a serious downturn in the economy, along with crises and revolts, either real or contrived. This was how Hitler came to power in Germany, as I have already mentioned. We do not know the future, but we can consider history and see what has happened in similar societies of the past. If you visit Red Square in Moscow, you should go to see Lenin’s tomb. His body lies under glass with his eyes closed and he is seemingly at peace. But that is not the way his life ended.

Lenin led the Bolshevik Revolution that seized control of the Russian government in 1917. But only a few short years afterward, uprisings were happening everywhere. While the Bolsheviks were still trying to figure out how they were going to rule and arguing about how communism was going to work, Lenin had a stroke and eventually lost the ability to speak. In his last days, as his life slipped away, he saw Stalin gain control of one committee and Politburo member after another in a drive for total power. While others were busy making speeches and trying to understand exactly what Marx meant and how it was supposed to apply to Russia, Stalin was busy appointing people to run the government and establishing his power base. Lenin's life work of killing thousands and causing immense upheaval in Russia in order to overthrow the Tsar, exterminate rivals, and establish the communist party was gradually being taken over by Stalin. Lenin tried to write speeches warning the party and have them smuggled into the Politburo, but eventually he lost even the use of his writing hand, and Stalin was able to gain control of all of Lenin's communications. The great founder of Bolshevism, revered by millions, was thus reduced to a prisoner in his own house and effectively smothered by his associates in his own government. Those who saw Lenin in his last days would often find him crying in frustration and despair, and so he died. One by one, Stalin eventually executed all of Lenin's associates, along with millions of innocent Russians. Lenin had unleashed a monster that would rule Russia and much of Europe with an iron hand for the next thirty years and cause untold anguish, hopelessness, and suffering. Communism was much worse for the Russian people than the Tsars ever were, but Stalin was the man that the CFR and other insider groups supported." Dr. Quigley again adjusted his glasses.

"Several years ago, an interesting fictional book was written called *The Manchurian Candidate*. It is a story of an organization that tried to seize control of the US presidency by inserting a mind-control device into the vice president, the man who takes over if the president dies or becomes incapacitated. The vice president in the story was the son of one of the people involved in the organization. The plan was then to assassinate the president so that the son would become president, and then be a pawn in the hands of his controllers. However, in today's world the NWO groups don't need to resort to this type of violence because they already control both of the major American political parties just as others do in European politics. The insiders owned the Democrat Party dating back to 1912 and starting in 1940 they also gained effective control of the Republican Party. In the election of 1940, Wendell Wilkie ran on the Republican ticket against FDR. Wilkie was a CFR member, a one-world socialist, and a registered Democrat through 1939. He was favored by only three percent of Republican party members, but after a drumbeat of media support he was nominated at the Republican convention. The last truly independent major candidate for US president was Barry Goldwater, who was savaged by the media in 1964. Jimmy Carter, the Democrat, was the governor of Georgia and a political unknown who was nominated by his party and was elected on the strength of the endorsement from David Rockefeller and the *New York Times*. Bill Clinton, the governor of Arkansas and a political unknown like Carter, but very much known to the insiders as a Rhodes Scholar and CFR member, likewise became the democratic candidate in 1992 almost entirely through insider support, and he was eventually elected president. Now we have President George W. Bush who narrowly beat CFR member Al Gore. It's too soon to know what Bush will do, but the indications are

that he is another creature of the establishment, as most of his administration is staffed with the same CFR members. His father George H. Bush is a long-time NWO insider.”